

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED IN	VENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/549,318	10/27/95	ADAMS		J	1448.0120002
			Γ		EXAMINER
		12M2/0508	_		······································
STERNE KESS	SLER GOLDSTI	EIN & FOX	Г	TARY GNIF	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 600 1100 NEW YO	IRK AVENUE I	NIA	_		
WASHINGTON				1201	9
				ATE MAILED:	05/08/97
This is a communication COMMISSIONER OF P		charge of your application. EMARKS			
This application has	been examined	Responsive to communication	on filed on 8	-6-97	This action is made fina
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire					
Part I THE FOLLOW	NG ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:			
1. Nettce of Re	ferences Cited by Exa	miner, PTO-892.	2. Notice	of Draftsman's Pa	atent Drawing Review, PTO-948
	Cited by Applicant, P		4. Notice	of Informal Paten	t Application, PTO-152.
5. Information o	on How to Effect Draw	ing Changes, PTO-1474.	6. 🗆		·
Part II SUMMARY OF					
1. Claims	-89	-27,29,30,43-45, 47- -10,29,28-31-42,49,5			are pending in the application
	(1-711-14/21-	27,29,30,43-45, 47-	.44 51-53,	,55,57, 63,	cc , parts) and
Of the ab	ove, claims 8	<u>-10,20,28-31-42,44,5</u>	321 20 '28-6	2,67-89 are	e withdrawn from consideration.
_					have been cancelled.
3. Claims					are allowed.
4. Claims					are rejected.
•		9,30,43-45,47-49,51	=	-	
		nformal drawings under 37 C.F.R.	1.85 which are ac	ceptable for exan	nination purposes.
	,	onse to this Office action. have been received on		Linder 37 (C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings
are 🗆 accepta	ble; not acceptable	e (see explanation or Notice of Dra			
		e sheet(s) of drawings, filed on arniner (see explanation).		has (have) been	approved by the
11. The proposed of	lrawing correction, file	d, has b	een 🗆 approved	t; 🗖 disapproved	d (see explanation).
12. Acknowledgem been filed in	ent is made of the clai parent application, se	m for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119 rdal no; fil	9. The certified or led on	py has Deen	received not been received
	***	in condition for allowance except x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453		, prosecution as t	to the merits is closed in
14. 14 Other 00	the Ron.	n 1449, only	referci	ices w	here
a copy h	as been s	upplied basel	been i	nitiale	ed and
looked a	t.				

Serial Number: 08/549,318 -2-

Art Unit: 1201

In response to the restriction requirement (election of species) applicant has elected the species of compound MG341 with traverse. The claims are inclusive of patentably distinct subject matter. With the election of a species, the examiner will identify a generic concept inclusive of said species for examination. The generic concept as depicted in claim 1 where P is pyrazinecarbonyl, R is H and alkyl, A is zero, R^2 and R^3 are H, alkyl, cycloalkyl, aryl, CH₂-R⁵ each optionally substituted by non heterocyclic groups, R^{5} is aryl, aralkyl, alkenyl each optionally substituted by non heterocyclic groups, X^2 is C(0)NH, Z^1 and Z^2 are OH, alkoxy and aryloxy and pharmaceutically acceptable salts thereof, is identified for examination along with the elected embodiment. The remaining subject matter of (1) claims 1-7, 11-19, 21-24, 25-27, 29, 30, 43-45, 47-49, 51-53, 55, 57, 63, 66 and (2) the subject matter of claims 8-10, 20, 28, 31-42, 46, 50, 54, 56, 58-62 and 67-89 stands withdrawn from further consideration under 37 CFR 1.142(b) as constituting other patentably distinct inventions.

The withdrawn subject matter of (1) and (2) (the compounds) is properly restricted as said subject matter differs in structure and element from the elected subject matter so as to be patentably distinct therefrom, i.e. a reference which anticipated but the elected subject matter would not even render obvious the

Serial Number: 08/549,318

Art Unit: 1201

withdrawn subject matter and the fields of search are not coextensive.

The withdrawn subject matter of claims 67-89 is properly restricted as the products of claims 1-66 are capable of more than one use, are drawn to a different statutory category (products) than that of claims 67-89 and are patentably distinct one from the other.

Accordingly, the claims are drawn to more than a single invention and restriction thereof is proper, 37 CFR 1.142(a).

Claims 1-7, 11-19, 21-24, 25-27, 29, 30, 43-45, 47-49, 51-53, 55, 57, 63 and 66 are objected to as containing non elected subject matter. The objection may be overcome by limiting the claims to the subject matter indicated as being examinable, supra. Claims so limited would appear allowable, if they avoid any claim duplication, i.e. more than one claim claiming the exact same subject matter.

Ramsuer:st May 03, 1997

Robert W. Ramsner Art Unit 1201